protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17253 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## [Docket No. RP95-329-001]

# Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd.; Notice of Tariff Compliance Filing

July 10, 1995.

Take notice that on July 5, 1995, Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd. (WIC), tendered for filing revised tariff sheets, to its FERC Gas Tariffs, First Revised Volume No. 1, and FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 2. The new tariff sheets are filed in accordance with the letter order issued June 21, 1995, in Docket No. PR95-329-000. In the June 21 order, the Commission conditioned acceptance of WIC's June 1, 1995 filing on a compliance filing by WIC to conform with Order No. 577-A. WIC has filed revisions to Sheet No. 26 of its Volume No. 1 Tariff, and Sheet No. 55 of its Volume No. 2 Tariff.

Accordingly, WIC submitted for filing Fourth Revised Sheet No. 26 of its Volume No. 1 Tariff and Fourth Revised Sheet No. 55 of its Volume No. 2 Tariff to become effective July 10, 1995, the effective date of Order No. 577–A.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests should be filed on or before July 17, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.

## Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17251 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-4724-9]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared June 05, 1995 Through June 09, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260–5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D-AFS-A65161-00 Rating EC2, Gypsy Moth Management in the United States: A Cooperative Approach, Implementation, US.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential habitat and water quality impacts and insufficient information to predict project effects on nontarget species.

ERP No. D-AFS-G65062–NM Rating LO, Agua/Caballos Timber Sale, Harvesting Timber and Managing Existing Vegetation, Implementation, Carson National Forest, El Rito Ranger District, Taos County, NM.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA requests that additional information on cumulative impacts and environmental justice be included in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65230-WY Rating EO2, Tie Hack Dam and Reservoir Construction, Special-Use-Permit, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Bighorn National Forest, Buffalo Ranger District, City of Buffalo, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the proposed alternative due to potential adverse impacts to wetlands. EPA suggests that the final EIS explore additional alternatives of hydropower production. EPA believes that the conservation alternative could show greater water savings and would be more effective in meeting the purpose and need than stated in the draft EIS.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65232-UT Rating LO, Brian Head Recovery Project, Timber Harvest, Implementation, Dixie National Forest, Cadar City Ranger District, Iron County, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65238–WA Rating EC2, Thunder Mountain Fire Recovery and Salvage Project, Implementation, Okanogan National Forest, Tonasket and Methow Valley Ranger Districts, Okanogan County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the existing conditions in the Chewuch River, Thirtymile Creek, Dog Creek, Windy Creek and Smarty Creek within the proposed project area and whether the proposed action will meet water quality standards.

ERP No. D-UAF-K11061-GU Rating EO2, Andersen Air Force Base (AFB) Solid Waste Management Facility, Construction, Island of Guam, GU.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections because the DEIS does not support statements regarding landfill location, unstable areas and monitorability of the groundwater. EPA has requested additional information including storm water permitting and air emissions.

ERP No. DS-DOE-L08050-WA Rating EC2, Puget Power Northwest Washington Electric Transmission Project, Updated Information, Construction and Operation, Whatcon and Skagit Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns based on the project's impact on water quality.

### **Final EISs**

ERP No. F-AFS-J65224-MT, Running Wolf Timber Sales, Implementation, Lewis and Clark National Forest, Judith Ranger District, Stanford, Judith Basin County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding water quality impacts, the adequacy of the water quality monitoring program and believes additional information is needed to fully assess all potential impacts of the proposed action.

ERP No. F-FHW-D40238-MD, US 29 Improvements, Sligo Creek Parkway to the Patuxent River Bridge, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Montgomery County, MD.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding the mass transit HOV options and the use of old traffic data.

ERP No. F-FHW-E40742-NC, I-85 Greensboro Bypass Study Area Transportation Improvement, I-85 South of Greensboro to I-40/85 east of Greensboro, Funding, Possible COE Section 404 Permit, City of Greensboro, Guilford County, NC.

Summary: EPA continued to believe that the Grand/85 alternative would be the most environmental sound build alternative for meeting the project's